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Only 25% of customer alerts move into active mitigation.

- ISPs choose which alerts and traffic to mitigate balancing costs, capacity, and service disruption.
Global DDoS Statistics [2]

No Industry Is Immune — All Organizations Are at Risk

FINANCE
Most attacked (9.5 times) and for the highest value $1.3 million. Most targeted industry by ransomware (50%).

RETAIL
Highest results in cloud service downtime as a result of attack (50%) and data stolen (31%).

TELCO
Most targeted by DDoS attacks (37%) and highest suffered loss of business (35%).

HEALTHCARE
Most likely to shut down the network infrastructure during an attack (29%); 53% were a victim of phishing attacks.

EDUCATION
Largest DDoS attacks: 12% suffered attacks over 50Gbps; 64% do not have confidence in their shadow IT detection capability.

Why do R&E networks need to be concerned about DDoS?

- Commodity services to some institutions are only provided by R&E networks.
- Online classes and remote work increased commodity traffic.
- A DDoS attack could congest R&E Commodity links and affect all connectors.
- Even small attacks can completely block communication with some institutions.
- While the DDoS attack could have a massive impact on an environment, it could carry an even more significant threat: **Ransom DDoS attacks**.
  - An RDDoS attack uses the well-known DDoS attack, but the objective of the criminals is to extort an organization.
Introduction to AmLight [1]

- AmLight Express and Protect (AmLight-ExP) (NSF IRNC program).
- NAPs: USA(3), Brazil(2), Chile, Puerto Rico, Panama, and South Africa.
- Academic connections:
  - 600Gbps of Academic upstream capacity between the U.S. and Latin America, and 100Gbps to Africa.
- Commodity connections:
  - 2 Upstreams: NTT and TISparkle.
  - 2 Peerings: Equinix and FL-IX.
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Introduction to AmLight [2]

- Academic exchange point built to enable collaboration between Latin America, Africa, and the United States.

- Supported by NSF, OAC, and the IRNC program through 2021-2025 award #OAC-2029283.

- Partnerships with R&E networks in the US, Latin America, the Caribbean, and Africa, built through layers of trust and openness, sharing:
  - Infrastructure resources
  - Human Resources
Questions done before choosing our tools:

➢ Is it provided by one of our Upstreams?
➢ Does the tool support Detection and/or Mitigation?
➢ Is it cloud-based or requires on-premises devices?
➢ What kind of mitigation does it support?
➢ Does the mitigation happen internally or externally?
➢ Does the mitigation can be triggered manually and automatically?
# AmLight DDoS Detection/Mitigation Approach: 3 Tiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>DPS MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ Tool provided by NTT, one of our Upstreams.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ External detection and mitigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Kentik</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ A cloud-based tool that communicates with our internal Routers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ External detection and Internal mitigation. (Mitigation under deployment)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Unwanted Traffic Removal Service (UTRS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>➢ A free peer-to-peer defense against DDoS provided by Team Cymru. (Under deployment).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>➢ Internal detection and External mitigation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
➢ It executes a traffic cleaning. Since it runs outside our environment, an attack does not affect our link.
➢ The mitigation can be started automatically or manually.
➢ It doesn’t require much knowledge about DDoS by the Network Engineers.
➢ No on-premises devices are required.
Tier 1 – The External/First Response - Cons

- Available on only one Upstream.

- For small but long attacks, the cost could exceed the benefits. In this case, the mitigation could be handled internally.

- The threshold customization is not straightforward. It requires acting from our provider.

- It is hard to find a good balance for the thresholds.
  - Decreasing the thresholds could lead to unexpected costs.
Tier 2 – The Internal Response - Pros

- Addresses the pitfalls from Tier 1.
- It’s a Cloud-based solution.
- It creates a database using Netflow samples, SNMP counters, and BGP information. Uses AI to create a baseline.
- Easy customization of a policy (detection and mitigation).
- It pushes instructions using BGP FlowSpec to our internal routers.
- The instruction can be directed to the destination IP or to only some signatures, like Destination Port, Protocol, TCP Type, etc.

Figure Reference: https://www.kentik.com/blog/secure-your-network-against-attacks-prevent-detect-mitigate-cyberthreats/
The mitigation occurs inside the AmLight network. The malicious traffic could impact our links.

For more significant attacks, our links can be congested and affect the connection with the Cloud-based tool.

Due to the higher number of customizable signatures to detect an attack, Tier 2 adds a new layer of complexity.

The BGP FlowSpec, chosen by the AmLight team as the mitigation approach, doesn’t clean the malicious traffic, i.e., the traffic will be dropped or limited, “contributing” to the attackers (for the greater good).
➢ UTRS 2.0: No-cost tool provided by Team Cymru to the Internet community. “You help your neighbor, and they help you.”
➢ It has 1,300+ network operators around the world.
➢ Validates an attack announcement sent by an operator before sending it to others.
➢ The attack announcement triggers a response from the community, blocking the traffic to the destination of the attack and mitigating it closer to the source.

Figure Reference: Team Cymru - UKNOF47 - https://indico.uknof.org.uk/event/53/contributions/774/attachments/1002/1449/NimbusTM%20Presentation.pdf
➢ The detection must be done internally, and an announcement must be made to the community.

➢ Since it is not an automatic process, some time is required to automate the functions and ensure no false positives will be announced.

➢ The mitigation will occur on the Internet community, so all the traffic to the destined host will be dropped, sacrificing the host to keep the infrastructure running.

➢ Only protects the prefixes that belong to our ASN. It doesn’t protect our connectors.
What Tier will we use in each situation?

**Tier 1**
- Large/Medium attacks.
- Attacks destined for a host that can’t face downtime.
- Situations where the malicious traffic has to be cleaned.

**Tier 2**
- Medium/Small attacks.
- More specific policies.
- Attacks destined for a host where the partial/total traffic can be blocked for “the greater good”.

**Tier 3**
- Large/Medium/Small attacks.
- Since it is a new solution, it requires testing to automate the detection process.
- Attacks destined for a host where the whole traffic can be blocked.
Tier 1 – DDoS Mitigation Report

Mitigation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mitigation ID</th>
<th>tms-93373</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Alert 5291495 IPv4 Auto-Mitigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managed Object</td>
<td>[Blacked Out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP version</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefix</td>
<td>[Blacked Out]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start Time (UTC)</td>
<td>06-Sep-23 01:56:56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop Time (UTC)</td>
<td>06-Sep-23 03:33:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert ID</td>
<td>5291495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countermeasures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Countermeasure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>Zombie Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>IPv4 Black/White List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>DNS Scoping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>TCP SYN Authentication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>TCP Connection Reset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>TCP Connection Limiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF</td>
<td>IP Location Filter List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>UDP Reflection/Amplification Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>SIP Malformed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ON</td>
<td>DNS Regular Expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drop/Pass Mbps

Graph showing Mbps drop and pass over time.
Tier 1 – Statistics [1]

Histogram of Attacks per Duration
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Tier 1 – Statistics [2]
Tier 1 – Statistics [3]
Tier 1 – Statistics [4]
Tier 1 – Statistics [5]

Top 5 Attack Destinations

- IP-1: 31.9%
- IP-2: 12.0%
- IP-3: 8.4%
- IP-4: 7.3%
- IP-5: 6.3%
- Other: 34.0%
Tier 2 – DDoS Detection Report

DDoS: Amplification Reflection Attack

Reflection attacks exploit unsecured networks and unauthenticated, connectionless services that reply to requests without validating that the host in the source address field of the IP header is truly making the request. Amplification at... Show More

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Src Port</th>
<th>Dest IP/CIDR</th>
<th>5.33 Gbits/s</th>
<th>461.14 Kpackets/s</th>
<th>7k Unique Src IPs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.32 Gbits/s above threshold</td>
<td>6k Unique Src IPs above threshold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2023-08-16 13:18
- Total
5,935.32 Mbits/s
512.82 Kpackets/s

Alert Start Time
2023-08-16 13:18

Event End Time
2023-08-16 13:22

Alert End Time
2023-08-16 13:32

Status
Cleared

Alert ID
28495754

Policy
DDoS: Amplification Reflection Attack

Frequency
This alert has happened roughly 2x per day in the last 30 days
Show all Occurrences
Tier 2 – Statistics [1]
Tier 2 – Statistics [2]
Tier 2 – Statistics [3]

Top 10 Attack Types

- DDoS: Amplification Reflection Attack: 26.4%
- V4 DDoS - Total Traffic Volumetric Attack: 20.8%
- V4 DDoS - TCP SYN Flood: 16.2%
- DDoS - Non-reflective DNS Flood: 9.8%
- DDoS - TCP ACK and ACK/PSH Floods: 9.8%
- V4 DDoS - Distributed TCP RST & FIN Flood: 8.8%
- DDoS - ICMP Flood Attack: 4.2%
- V4 DDoS - UDP Fragments Attack: 4.2%
- DDoS - Non-reflective DNS Flood: 3.8%
- V4 DDoS - TCP ACK and ACK/PSH Floods: 3.0%
Tier 2 – Statistics [4]
Tier 2 – Statistics [5]
Tier 2 – Statistics [6]
Challenges [1]

➢ How will we merge the 3-tier proposal without causing any issues to the connectors and avoiding increasing costs?

➢ What are the optimal thresholds for each connector and type of attack?
  ➢ High diversity on the connectors links’ capacity.
  ➢ Not all the connectors and institutions have a security device on their side.

➢ Science traffic can be deceiving! Some experiments have similar signatures as those of a DDoS attack. For example:
  ➢ High throughput could come from different servers during a data transfer.
  ➢ New security features testing.
  ➢ Sparse traffic.
Challenges [2]

➢ How can we avoid false positives, especially when using AI to create the baseline?

➢ How can we ensure we can block all the traffic to a destined host without causing more issues to the destination?
  ➢ Some attacks are destined for critical services, such as DNS, VPN, Firewall, etc.
  ➢ If we block all the traffic, “we will help the attacker.”
Challenges [3]

➢ How can we engage the community to help us?
   ➢ How the attack notification will take place?
   ➢ Will we start mitigation automatically or wait for the connectors to give us a “good to go”? 
   ➢ Since most institutions are not connected directly to the AmLight network, how can we engage those partners?

➢ Tier-2, Kentik, enables tenants.
   ➢ Our connectors could analyze their information and ask us to build a policy as they wish.
Future Work

➢ Enable Tier-2 for mitigation using BGP FlowSpec.

➢ Implement Tier-3.

➢ Enable DNS inspection.

➢ Engage our community to gather more information about our connectors’ needs.
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