CHICAGO MARCH 4-7

((•))((•))

INTERNET2 2024 COMMUNITY exchangə

InCommon Futures2

What's next for InCommon?

Marc Wallman, CIO and Vice President Information Technology, North Dakota State University Kevin Morooney, VP Internet2, Trust and Identity Services & NET+ Cloud Services

O

In

We're here to answer the hard questions today







~ 3 ~

• Why "Futures2" ?

- "The" presentation
 - Why this matters
 - A way to think about the project
- Our approach to action
 Early action areas



What is "InCommon Futures2"



InCommon Futures2...

... is a project being undertaken by the InCommon

Steering Committee to help guide a vibrant future for

InCommon.











INTERNET2 2024 COMMUNITY EXCHANGE CHICAGO ~ 7 ~

InCommon Future Report and Recommendation

Presented to the Internet2 Board of Trustees, July 2009, for discussion

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Structure	
Governance	
Membership	
Other Specific Recommendations	
INCOMMON FUTURE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION	
1. BACKGROUND	
2. CHARGE	
3. BROADER SCOPE	
4. RECOMMENDATION	
RATIONALE	
VALUES	
5 SERVICES AND FOUNDATION ACTIVITIES	7
SERVICE TASKS FOR THE IDENTITY FEDERATION	
FOUNDATION TASKS	
INITIAL PRINCIPLES FOR DEFINING ACTIVITIES IN THE INCOMMON FOUNDATION PORTFOLIO:	
6. MEMBERS	
7. GOVERNANCE	
8. ORGANIZATION AND FINANCES	
9. STAFFING	
CURRENT STAFF	
ADDITIONAL STAFF: SUGGESTED ADDITIONS FOR LATE 2009, 2010	
10. RISKS TO SUCCESS	
11. THE INCOMMON FUTURE GROUP	
NOTE	12

https://incommon.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/InCommonFuture_20090701.pdf

COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

~ 8 ~ internet2.edu Brand recognition and confusion for InCommon, Internet2, and transitioning projects

11. The InCommon Future Group

Amy Philipson, Pacific NW Gigapop, (Internet2 AMSAC) Chris Shillum, Elsevier, (InCommon Steering) Clair Goldsmith, UT System, (InCommon Steering) Cliff Lynch, CNI, (Internet2 RAC) Doug Van Houweling, Internet2 CEO Jack Suess, UMBC, (InCommon Steering, Internet2 AMSAC) John Krienke, Internet2, InCommon Ken Klingenstein, Internet2, U Colorado, (InCommon Steering) Kevin Morooney, Penn State, (InCommon Steering) Lois Brooks, Stanford, (InCommon Steering) Richard Katz, EDUCAUSE Rosio Alvarez, Lawrence Berkeley Labs, (Internet2 RAC) Ray Ford, U Montana, (Internet2 AMSAC) RL 'Bob' Morgan, U Washington, (MACE, InCommon TAC - Technical Advisory Committee) Rick Summerhill, Internet2 CTO Sally Jackson, U Illinois, (Internet2 AMSAC)

Note:

This document should be considered a recommended path and set of principles rather than a final plan and end state. This group acknowledges that detailed and evolving plans are required to ensure the success of this set of recommendations. Continued oversight should be provided by the current Internet2 and InCommon governance mechanisms to ensure long-term success and viability.



InCommon Participants Year-by-Year





internet2.edu

InCommon Participants Year-by-Year





Recommendation
tructure: A trust services platform
tructure: Foundation establishment
overnance: establish InCommon Board of Directors
1embership: NSF
1embership: NIH
1embership: DoE
lembership: State-based, K-12
lembership: Service provider companies and orgs
y Q2 2010,InCommon should develop a clear mission statement and a funding plan to ensure the continued success of core federation ervices and core middleware research and development.
nternet2 commits to continued funding, organizational development, and growth of InCommon services and foundation for the next three years. Concurrently, InCommon should work toward financial cost-recovery of the InCommon Identity Federation by Q4 2012 and should seek out additional avestment partners, particularly those interested in the foundation's research and development.
nternet2 remains committed to the success of this activity and to the growth and stability of InCommon, and should fund additional staff eginning September 2009 as outlined in the Staffing section of this document, with the understanding that all investments should continue be repaid, as has historically been the case between Internet2 and InCommon.
or the duration of this plan, Internet2 should continue to provide operational and administrative staff and services, including secure metadata egistry, registration authority support, accounting, grant administration, technical and meeting support, human resources, and office if a structure at a rate commensurate with costs.
nternet2 should strive to bring at least 75% of its members into the InCommon Identity Federation by the end of 2010.
Common should analyze additional service opportunities that relate to or increase the value of its core services. Examples are a server ertificate service, core identity federation services for states or regional consortia. Investment and business plans for any new opportunities 2010 should be articulated by Q3 2009.
nCommon should, with additional staff support, develop a training and adoption program (either internally or through community and ommercial partnerships) in Q2 2010.
Common should, with additional staff support, develop a plan by Q1 2010 for engagement and outreach work to build partnerships with gencies, companies, and volunteers to build support for federated partnerships and distributed campus services.
Common should launch the Bronze and Silver assurance profiles by Q4 2009, with a demonstration pilot ready in September of 2009 and resented at the Internet2 Fall member meeting.
Common should develop a new pricing plan for the InCommon Identity Federation service that accounts for all actual costs of operating the ederation via a price increase in 2010 and a tiered pricing model by calendar year 2011.

Recommendation	No	No but	Yes but	Yes
Structure: A trust services platform				Х
Structure: Foundation establishment	X			
Governance: establish InCommon Board of Directors	X			
Membership: NSF			X	
Aembership: NIH				Х
Aembership: DoE		×		
/lembership: State-based, K-12		X		
Membership: Service provider companies and orgs			X	
By Q2 2010,InCommon should develop a clear mission statement and a funding plan to ensure the continued success of core rederation services and core middleware research and development.			x	
Internet2 commits to continued funding,organizational development, and growth of InCommon services and foundation for the next three years. Concurrently, InCommon should work toward financial cost-recovery of the InCommon Identity Federation by Q4 2012 and should seek out additional investment partners, particularly those interested in the foundation's research and development.		×		
nternet2 remains committed to the success of this activity and to the growth and stability of InCommon, and should fund additional staff beginning September 2009 as outlined in the Staffing section of this document, with the understanding that all investments should continue to be repaid, as has historically been the case between internet2 and InCommon.		×		
For the duration of this plan, Internet2 should continue to provide. operational and administrative staff and services, including secure metadata registry, registration authority support, accounting, grant administration, technical and meeting support, human resources, and office infrastructure at a rate commensurate with costs.			×	
nternet2 should strive to bring at least 75% of its members into the nCommon Identity Federation by the end of 2010.				Х
InCommon should analyze additional service opportunities that relate to or increase the value of its core services. Examples are a server certificate service, core identity federation services for states or regional consortia. Investment and business plans for any new opportunities in 2010 should be articulated by Q3 2009.			×	
InCommon should, with additional staff support, develop a training and adoption program (either internally or through community and commercial partnerships) in Q2 2010.			x	
InCommon should, with additional staff support, develop a plan by Q1 2010 for engagement and outreach work to build partnerships with agencies, companies, and volunteers to build support for federated partnerships and distributed campus services.		×		
nCommon should launch the Bronze and Silver assurance profiles by Q4 2009, with a demonstration pilot ready in September of 2009 and presented at the Internet2 Fall member meeting.				х
InCommon should develop a new pricing plan for the InCommon Identity Federation service that accounts for all actual costs of operating the federation via a price increase in 2010 and a tiered pricing model by calendar year 2011.		×		





Context for the 2009 Report

- Audience: Internet2 and the Internet2 Board of Trustees
- Motivation: It wasn't entirely clear if InCommon was going to "make it".
- Authors: Community leaders that strongly believed in its importance and wanted to weigh in on what was going to be necessary to scale "pilot success"
- Objective: A critical mass of community leaders and Internet2 decision makers was necessary to create and allocate the resources necessary for success

Also...















internet2.edu

end of why and a little bit of what





A story in three acts



Prologue



Why is digital identity important?

- 1. It is the most important service higher education operates.
- 2. All other services presuppose identity management.
- 3. The non-electronic parts of our institutions are founded on identity.
- 4. The identity in electronic parts of our institutions needs to function at least as well as the non-electronic parts and, where it can, it should function better.
- 5. Today it does not.



Our Job: Make digital identity real







internet2.edu



Act 1 The Present

INTERNET2 2024 COMMUNITY EXCHANGE CHICAGO

~ 24 ~

We do tools development, operations, training, sharing, support, convening.





Your campus





Your campus







internet2.edu















examples of cloud services

Commercial cloud

Your CMS Your HRIS Your SIS turnitin.com

...

R&E cloud

a research wiki at another institution a research portal at another institution a collection of repositories a data repository at your own institution



internet2.edu

















Why the two connections?







Your commercial federation solution determines what is in this cloud.

R&E cloud services are of no interest to commercial cloud connector providers. There's no \$ and the scale is different, large and complex.










Act 2 What is happening to us?

COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

~ 38 ~

"Now that everything is digital, what is IT?"



I and T and IT.



"The History of Higher Education IT" or "The Changing Role of the University CIO"



















internet2.edu



Part 3 The Report

A THREE ACT STOP



~ 45 ~

PROMOTING DIGITAL COLLABORATION

Executive Summary

Over the next five years, InCommon leads as the collective authority in devising creative, sustainable IAM best practices for research & education.

Through a four-step, repeatable process, InCommon will effectively engage the community to achieve its strategic objectives.

0

F



STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES



?

1*1

副

Or ated by Savanthing

COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

PROMOTING DIGITAL COLLABORATION

Executive Summary

Over the next five years, InCommon leads as the collective authority in devising creative, sustainable IAM best practices for research & education.

Through a four-step, repeatable process, InCommon will effectively engage the community to achieve its strategic objectives.



Created by Savareting

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES





how



~ 47 ~

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

AN EVOLVED, STRONG VALUE PROPOSITION

TRUSTED COLLECTIVE FOR IAM WITHIN R&E



CLEAR GUIDANCE & TECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS



A SECURITY-FOCUSED INNOVATION GROUP

FOR EMERGING PROTOCOLS IN IAM



IMPROVED PRODUCT IMPLEMENTATION & INTEGRATION

IMPLEMENTATION



TO INCREASE ENGAGEMENT WITH FEDERATION & TRUSTED ACCESS PLATFORM

EASY TO NAVIGATE PRODUCT & SERVICE OFFERINGS

FOR ALL AUDIENCE GROUPS









knowledge

- insight
- synthesis
- wisdom
- guidance
- (architectural, practitioner) expertise



communication

- reference materials
- trends
- provocation
- best practices
- advocacy
- story telling









Tying it together



~ 53 ~

internet2.edu





Today

thankless, orchestrated dial tone



Take what we do...

...extract, create new and different value...









Not this...

...this.





internet2.edu

One example



Image: Common state of the state of the

COMMUNITY EXCHANGE









and **InCommon**_®



3



A story in three acts



Our approach to action



We Bring Communities Together To Build Economies Vital Learnings From Our Work in Building Inclusive Plastic Waste Systems in South and

Southeast Asia

SecondMuse Releases Scale For ClimateTech 2023

CONTACT US

Impact Report



Our planning principles

- Our work together must stand up to the lens of the broader community. We must assume that we operate in transparency by default. It is essential to foster confidence and inclusion in our activities and messaging.
- The process we design to engage the community and develop the additional artifacts will be as important as the final document we produce. The process of producing this document has value in and of itself. This process is a community-engagement exercise with expected goodness and "bounce."
- We want individuals to see themselves (or someone like them) as contributing to the process in some way.
- Our decisions, strategies and recommendations can be traced to community or research-driven data or citations.
- The actors guiding this process are the community and Internet2. All decisions are driven by consensus by the Steering Futures2 Working Group.
- We will respect the opinions, business needs, and time of all members. We are advocates for each other.
- We will facilitate preparation with clear communication and the timely dissemination of information to the Steering Committee and the Community at-large. The likelihood of an impact will be higher if we communicate and tell the story as it unfolds.





In Comon _®

- Facilitated sessions with target community groups
- Broad community and InCommon Customer survey
- Key stakeholder interviews
- Stakeholder input sessions
- R&E landscape trends and opportunities analysis

June ----- October



InCommon Steering Committee

InCommon Technical Advisory Committee

Community Architect Community for Trust and Identity

Community Trust and Assurance Board

eduroam Advisory Committee

Component Architects Advisory Group





Marc Wallman North Dakota State University Chris Misra University of Massachusetts Amherst Globus, University of Chicago Rachana Ananthakrishnan Ashrab Ahmed NIH Eastern Washington University Brad Christ Bernie Gulachek University of Minnesota Kristi Holmes Northwestern University Benelogic Christine Miki University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign Kim Milford Sean Moonev NIH Dave Robinson Baylor School Jim Stewart UETN Ann West Internet2

Keith Wessel University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign Joanne Boomer University of Missouri Grady Bailey The University of Texas at Austin Jeffrey Crawford University of California, Los Angeles Matthew Economou Independent Derek Eiler University of Nevada System Björn Mattsson Sunet Andrew Morgan Oregon State University Steven Premeau University of Maine Mark Rank Cirrus Identity Jim VanLandeghem Moran Technology Kathy E. Wright Clemson University

David Bantz University of Alaska University of Wisconsin-Madison Jon Miner Warren Anderson LIGO Pål Axelsson SUNET Matthew Eisenberg NIH Florida Polytechnic University Ercan Elibol Richard Frovarp North Dakota State University Michael Grady Unicon Eastern Washington University Scott Green Christopher KeithBrown University Kyle Lewis Research Data and Communications Technologies Ryan McDaniel Virginia Tech Rick Wagner University of California San Diego

Margaret Cullen Painless Security Kevin Hickey University of Detroit Mercy Independent John Bradlev Judith Bush OCLC Robert Carter Duke University CACT Gabor Eszes University of Virginia Tom Jordan University of Wisconsin - Madison Carleton College Les LaCroix Kevin Mackie Oregon Health & Science University Kenyan Education Network Joan Masai Derek Owens University of Notre Dame Chris Phillips CANARIE, Inc. University of Otago (NZ)2025 Gareth Wood University of Nebraska Brett Bieber Jeff Egly UETN Kendra Ard Cal. St. University Office of the Chancellor Dion Baird University IT, Oregon State University John Buysse University of Notre Dame Amel Caldwell University of Washington eAC Mike Dickson University of Massachusetts Amherst Derek Eiler Nevada System of Higher Education Sprinafield College Nadim El-Khourv University of North Carolina - Greensboro Rob Gorrell Michael Hacker University Heights Charter School District Saira Hasnain University of Florida Jeremy Livingston Stevens Institute of Technology Steve Zoppi Internet2 Benjamin Oshrin Spherical Cow Group Laura Paglione Spherical Cow Group Dedra ChamberlinCirrus Identity Chris Hyzer University of Pennsylvania Jonathan Johnson Unicon Charise Arrowood Unicon Components Mike Gradv Unicon Rob Carter Duke Universitv Scott Cantor The Ohio State University Slavek Licehammer Evolveum

University of North Carolina

Ethan Kromhout

COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

CTAB

TAC

Steering

~ 66 ~



THE FELLOWSHIP OF THE THINGS



and the second sec		Making it Real						Communications
InCormon. Futures2	6 Our Pocus. What is it that only we can do? The instrument of an and the instrument of an and t	Decision Areas Section 4 and the section of the section o	How what this control with the sector to the sector with sector with sector with the sector with s	v do we it to wor first yea	figure out k on during ur?	D		Messaging/Direction Community Exchange
How to get started		Bile of Change in the First Year	- Maria - Mari	t Steps?	na minina nago ni yand na minina nago ni yang na minina nago ni yang na minina nago ni yang na minina nago ni yang ni yang nago ng mang nago ng mang ng mang ng mang ng mang n			 A constraint of particular data with the second seco
	S What's challenging about how we work today?	Target Audiences	€ c	riteria fi First I	or Choosing Projects			2 Our Operational Detas
	Resourcing and the ★ Estanti of Change Hanse Barbard and the set of the s	/ <u> </u>	Pot	ential P	roject Assessment			<section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header>
			? What were our conclusions?				? Advisory Group Deltas - Channel I funda , recorded and report - State appropriate and the state of the state - State and the state of the state of the state of the - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state of the state of the state of the state - State of the state - State of the stat	
			Polarity at mod/d	Ann Lines	Area at work	Guisk Win Trim Flame	Participation of the second files	Dispelling the Myths
			1	Bev	Common Human for annual and common Arch. Services and business Arch. Services and Tell business and both services and degrees along with AARC for Macourt	Proposed New reasoning ing in June Bestade by Technol Bestade by Technol Bestade Music Proposed		Y Mar Andreas Martine Constraints of the Section of Section Secti
			۹.	413	Insury Instroy Teasor for Catelysts, show the approache portice captories Deate when the them	Eanly with an wighing "new" corp message w Coolyn Program.		
			9	By-r	Anatyan Separts Instant Bepart, Provide anatyan, trend documents that are partial	Deriven report to the constrainity avery 4 manths?		
			IND	Are .	Discourse Namine GPA saws - Supplier Mathing mile loanning kar consumangemens sharing resources	Second Muse Protected GHI count be a quark win, Requires more investigation		
			180		Distancey Needled Open			
				-	source universely sedimer.			







Do nothing





- Y.A.H You are here
- W.Y.B. Where you'll be
- W.Y.W.T.B. Where you want to be





- Y.A.H You are here
- W.Y.B. Where you'll be
- W.Y.W.T.B. Where you want to be





- Y.A.H You are here
- W.Y.B. Where you'll be
- W.Y.W.T.B. Where you want to be
The Five Objectives, in one place

- 1. The newly communicated proposition communicates that InCommon is the trusted collective for R&E institutions looking to build trusted, cost-effective, up-to-date IAM systems.
- 2. InCommon members feel supported by the guidance Internet2 offers them. Technical recommendations and guidelines address a variety of common needs and critical challenges specific to the audience groups that the InCommon community is composed of. This level of targeted support and leadership distinguishes Internet2 and, by extension, InCommon as the authority on IAM for R&E. Members can better identify their level of IAM maturity, and InCommon can better measure how well solutions are meeting specific needs within the membership.
- 3. IAM experts within R&E should know that one of the valuable benefits of InCommon membership is knowing how to quickly and efficiently learn about emerging protocols and requirements in order to integrate them into their IAM system. With targeted guidance, members shift from taking a reactive to a proactive approach with regard to enhancing their security approaches within their IAM infrastructure. Membership engagement and retention increases. New community members join Federation due to enhanced security offerings.
- 4. InCommon takes the lead in upleveling the community to better address the integration challenges that were expressed in the consultation process. These challenges speak to the experience that industry providers have in supporting InCommon products: existing restrictions on admin accounts that are difficult to work around, and the manual, labor-intensive processes required to integrate with InCommon.
- 5. Each of the key audience groups that compose the InCommon membership identified aspects of IAM system management that need improvement. While themes exist across the needs of these groups, institutions must be able to see their needs being anticipated by the solutions Internet2 presents moving forward.



PROMOTING DIGITAL COLLABORATION

Executive Summary

Over the next five years, InCommon leads as the collective authority in devising creative, sustainable IAM best practices for research & education.

Through a four-step, repeatable process, InCommon will effectively engage the community to achieve its strategic objectives. 7. DEFINE THE CHALLENGE LEVERAGE INCOMMON IV. INPLEMENT FEEDRACK

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES



ADDRESSING AN EVOLVING IAM LANDSCAPE



INTERNET2 2024 COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

Download the full report:





Download the 2023 Year in Review









PROMOTING DIGITAL COLLABORATION

Executive Summary

Over the next five years, InCommon leads as the collective authority in devising creative, sustainable IAM best practices for research & education.

Through a four-step, repeatable process, InCommon will effectively engage the community to achieve its strategic objectives. 7. DEFINE THE CHALLENGE LEVERAGE INCOMMON IV. INPLEMENT FEEDRACK

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES



ADDRESSING AN EVOLVING IAM LANDSCAPE



COMMUNITY EXCHANGE

Download the full report:



CHICAGO MARCH 4-7

((•))((•))

INTERNET2 2024 COMMUNITY exchangə

InCommon Futures2

What's next for InCommon?

Marc Wallman, CIO and Vice President Information Technology, North Dakota State University Kevin Morooney, VP Internet2, Trust and Identity Services & NET+ Cloud Services

O

In

IAM as a Practice in Higher Education



Commonalities with Commercial IAM

- Identity Governance -
- -
- Certification and Compliance Mostly cost and risk avoidance

Drivers Unique to Higher Education

- Fuzzy borders ÷
- **Distributed Constituencies**
- **Cross-institutional Collaborations**
- Mission-focused activities -







IAM as a Practice in Higher Education

Commonalities with Commercial IAM

- Identity Governance
- Certification and Compliance
- Mostly cost and risk avoidance

Drivers Unique to Higher Education

- Fuzzy borders
- Distributed Constituencies
- Cross-institutional Collaborations
- Mission-focused activities

