2023 INTERNET2 • TECHNOLOGY • exchangə

Facilitating RCD Community Success September 19, 2024

Dana Brunson, Internet2, Research Engagement John Hicks, Internet2, Research Engagement Timothy Middelkoop, Internet2, Research Engagement



The Internet2 Research Engagement Team





INTERNET®





Why is supporting research important to Internet2?

It's part of our mission.

- Research is the "R" in "R&E". It's part of our DNA.
- We have a strategic initiative to "Improve focus on research".

It's valuable to our community.

- It supports the research enterprise at our community institutions and organizations.
- Research is a core part of Internet2 value proposition.

It drives the demand for Internet2 services.

- Research drives the need for innovation in technologies that Internet2 develops.
- Research drives adoption of technologies that Internet2 provides.
- Research drives development of these technologies.



The Research Computing & Data Landscape is complex US RSE XSEDE THE QUILT Earthworks EC Extreme Science and Engineering **Discovery Environment** AMPLIS NEUT **Open Science Grid** EPOC globus Engagement and Performance **Operations** Center EDUCAUSE SGC FRONTERA >>> <u>= Galaxy</u> AINS NETWORK Science Gateways **Síghpc** SERVING **Community Institute** CONSORT ortal DEMIC SCI UMBN ER INTERNET® Survey Crew milt may brucht fir ous an unt rand TAT - Env roi - At I D plus, the ex 23 **INTERNET2 2023 TECHNOLOGY EXCHANGE**

Research is Constantly Changing

The Challenge for Researchers:

- Research and scholarship is now reliant on computing and data infrastructure, services, and support across most disciplines.
- Research is more frequently conducted by widely dispersed communities that are sharing larger, more complex data sets and using more sophisticated tools.
- A rapidly increasing level of knowledge and skill is required to make effective use of research computing and data infrastructure and services.
- Many researchers simply cannot keep up.

The role of RCD+ Professionals:

- For research that relies on technology, support from a highly skilled workforce is now required.
- This workforce is an emerging profession: The Research Computing and Data (RCD+) professional community.

Institutions cannot realize their full research potential without a strong RCD workforce.



What we know today

Researchers struggle to take advantage of ever-evolving RCD technology

- They have no time to become technology experts
- They rely heavily on RCD professionals for tech services, support and facilitation.

Institutions need support and guidance

- To boot-strap, grow, and sustain their programs
- To explain to leadership how RCD is critical to research
- To recruit, retain, and develop RCD professional staff

RCD professionals are clamoring for a shared voice

- To advocate for the profession
- For broader understanding of their roles
- For training, mentoring, and professional development resources.



Research Engagement at Internet2

Internet2 Facilitation for RCD/Research Community

- Support RCD/Research to effectively leverage Internet2 offerings.
- Support and collaborate with Internet2 colleagues in on key projects that address current and future challenges.
- Be a conduit for information flow between RCD and Internet2.

RCD Community Facilitation – Engaging, coordinating, and leading the community to address common challenges (via NSF funded RCD Nexus, CaRCC, MS-CC, ERN, etc)

- Best practices clearinghouse
- Workforce development focus
- Institutional RCD Capabilities assessment, impact measurement, strategic communication
- International RCD cooperation/collaboration

Advocate for RCD/Research Communities between institutional administrators, national funding agencies, professional organizations, and the private sector



Research Engagement at Internet2

Internet2 Facilitation for RCD/Research Community

- Support RCD/Research to effectively leverage Internet2 offerings.
- Support and collaborate with Internet2 colleagues in on key projects that address current and future challenges.
- Be a conduit for information flow between RCD and Internet2.

RCD Community Facilitation – Engaging, coordinating, and leading the community to address common challenges (via NSF funded RCD Nexus, CaRCC, MS-CC, ERN, etc)

- Best practices clearinghouse
- Workforce development focus
- Institutional RCD Capabilities assessment, impact measurement, strategic communication
- International RCD cooperation/collaboration

Advocate for RCD/Research Communities between institutional administrators, national funding agencies, professional organizations, and the private sector



Community Needs Drive Our Priorities

Sources of Community Input:

- 2017 CaRCC Stakeholder Mapping Survey
- 2020 NSF CI Workforce Workshop
- 2021 RCD Workforce Survey
- 2021 RCD Career Arcs Survey
- 2021 Missing Millions Report
- 2021 MS-CC Stakeholder Mapping
- 2022 RCD Professionals Stakeholder Pulse Survey
- 2022 ŃSF ACCI Workforce Working Group Report
- 2022 CaRCC Strategy retreat
- CaRCC & RCD Nexus Advisory Committees
- RCD Nexus Day @PEARC22
- 2023 CaRCC Strategy Retreat
- RCD Nexus Day @PEARC23

Key Findings: Institutions and RCD+ professionals are clamoring for curated resources and a shared voice to:

- Help recruit, retain, and develop RCD+ professional staff
- Advocate for the profession and broaden understanding of their roles
- Provide training, mentoring, and professional development resources
- Better understand the RCD+ landscape and their own institutional strengths
- Increase diversity, equity, and inclusion



Who is CaRCC?





Many contributors - https://carcc.org/our-thanks

Jay Alameda, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign Troy Baer, Ohio Supercomputer Center Gladys Karina Andino Bautista, Purdue University Venice Bavrd. Montana State University John Blaas, National Center for Atmospheric Research Justin Booth, Michigan State University Tara Borland, University of Alaska Jim Bottum, Clemson University Geoff Boushey, UC San Francisco Carrie Brown. Penn State University Dana Brunson, Internet2 Katia Bulekova, Boston University Cyd Burrows-Schilling, UC San Diego Zach Byerly, Louisiana State University Laura Carriere, NASA Sarvani Chadalapaka, UC Merced Dhruva Chakravorty, Texas A&M Cathy Chaplin, Internet2 Shafaq Chaudhry, University of Central Florida Thomas Cheatham, University of Utah Dirk Colbry, Michigan State University Galen Collier, Rutgers University Jane Combs, University of Cincinnati Martin Cuma, University of Utah Joel Cutcher-Gershenfeld, Brandeis University Deborah Dent, Jackson State University F. Alex Feltus, Clemson University

Bob Freeman, Harvard University Kimberley Grash, University of Chicago Fred Harris, University of Nevada Reno Brian Havmore. University of Utah John Hicks, Internet2 Betsy Hillery, Purdue University Gwen Jacobs. University of Hawaii Douglas Jennewein, Arizona State University Kerk Kee, Texas Tech Rich Knepper. Cornell University Shelley Knuth, University of Colorado Boulder Christina Koch, University of Wisconsin - Madison Amy Koshoffer, University of Cincinnati Gail Krovitz, Internet2 Elizabeth Leake, STEM TREK Jim Leous, Penn State University Julie Ma, MGHPCC Tobin Magle, Northwestern University Christina Maimone. Northwestern University Sara Mannheimer, Montana State University Ruth Marinshaw, Stanford University Deb McCaffrey, University of Michigan Lauren Michael, University of Wisconsin - Madison Bill Michener, University of New Mexico Timothy Middelkoop, Internet2 Claire Mizumoto, UC San Diego

Amy Neeser, UC Berkeley Janna Nugent, Northwestern University Barr von Oehsen, Rutgers University Arman Pazouki. Northwestern University Sai Pinnepalli, Louisiana State University Sam Porter, University of Maryland Wirawan Purwanto, Old Dominion University Annelie Rugg, UC Los Angeles Patrick Schmitz, Semper Cogito Erin Shaw. University of Southern California Jerry Sheehan, San Diego State University Andy Sherman, Yale University Kurt Showmaker, Jacksons Labs Alan Silver, University of Wisconsin - Madison Preston Smith, Purdue University Tracy Smith, University of Illinois Dan Stanzione, Texas Advanced Computing Center Ashley Stauffer, Penn State University Scotty Strachan, University of Nevada Reno John Towns, NCSA Pips Veazey, University of Maine Jason Wells, Bentley University Karen Wetzel, EDUCAUSE Jim Wilgenbusch, University of Minnesota Scott Yockel, Harvard University Nuyun Zhang, Georgia Institute of Technology Anita Orendt, University of Utah



... from many institutions

University of California Berkeley University of Utah Montana State University University of Cincinnati NCSA University of Maryland Cornell University STEM TREK University of California San Diego San Diego State University Michigan State University University of Michigan Texas A&M Jacksons Labs Brandeis University Internet2 Ohio Supercomputer Center Old Dominion University University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign EDUCAUSE Purdue University University of Colorado Boulder Bentley University University of Minnesota Massachusetts Green High Performance Computing Center Rutgers University Arizona State University University of California Merced Semper Cogito University of Alaska University of Nevada Reno Yale University Harvard University Georgia Institute of Technology National Center for Atmospheric Research University of California Los Angeles Purdue Northwestern University University of Wisconsin – Madison Boston University Stanford University Texas Tech Jackson State University TACC University of New Mexico University of Chicago University of Hawaii NASA Clemson University Penn State University University of Southern California Louisiana State University University of Central Florida University of Maine University of Illinois





CaRCC's People Network = Inclusive Community

A year-round virtual conference to share/leverage expertise in various facings (Tracks)



Data-Facing Track:

- B Discussions of analysis, curation,
- preservation, compliance, movement



Emerging-Centers Track:

Issues of interest to newer or emerging RCD service leaders and programs



Researcher-Facing Track:

Topics include consulting, bridging, facilitation,outreach, training



Software-Facing Track -> US-RSE: Development or contribution to research software, scripts, workflows



Systems-Facing Track:

Discussions around hardware, networks, security, compliance, data centers



Strategy/Policy-Facing Track:

Topics such as institutional alignment, culture, funding, policy, community





CaRCC is one of the largest and fastest growing organizations of RCD professionals





The People Network: Highlights 2022-2023

Externally

- ~1500 Track Call attendees across 28 Track & joint calls
- >6500 YouTube Recordings views across all Track Calls in the past year
- Launch of the Strategy & Policy-Facing Track, and tie-in with Emerging Centers Track
- First Accessibility Month call series: Community discussion, 3 speakers, and feedback/debrief
- Broadening inclusion for Community Calls announcements & accessibility for Track materials
- Continuing to develop and build new collaborations with peer communities.

Internally

- 28 Steering Committee members across all five Tracks
 - Helpful in developing & coordinating topics & presenters, in addition to bringing in new ideas
 - Mentoring potential future Track Chairs.
- More discussion and coordination for cross-track, themed, & plenary calls
- More joint calls to continue to build bridges / break down barriers amount groups & interest areas



Join the mailing list to hear about more opportunities in CaRCC and RCD Nexus:







RCD Nexus - Supporting the RCD Workforce to Advance Research

An NSF-supported CI Center of Excellence Pilot

May 2021 - April 2024 (Award 210003)



Dana Brunson, PI - Internet2 - Executive Director for Research Engagement Scott Yockel, co-PI - Harvard - University Research Computing Officer Claire Mizumoto, co-PI - UC San Diego - Director, Research IT Services Thomas Cheathamm co-PI - University of Utah - Professor of Pharmacy & Director of RC/CHPC Patrick Schmitz, co-PI - Semper Cogito Daphne McCanse, Communications and Engagement Lead





RCD Nexus Pilot: Initial Priorities

- Conduct an RCD Workforce Staffing survey
- Advance the adoption of RCD Job Family Framework
- Develop a Career Arcs resource for RCD professionals
- Curate leading practices for Staff Professional Development
- Curate leading practices for Involving Students in the RCD Workforce
- Develop an Enhanced RCD Capabilities Model Assessment Tool and Community Dataset Portal





CaRCC Working Groups

Activities that connect Research Computing and Data (RCD) professionals & organizations to increase knowledge sharing & enable research innovation

RCD Professionalization

Develop and disseminate frameworks, models, and approaches that can be used by leaders in HR, IT, Research, etc. to support and elevate RCD roles.

RCD Career Arcs

Creates resources to explain RCD career options, help existing RCD professionals explore professional development and advancement, and aid in recruitment.

RCD Capabilities Model

Allows institutions to assess their RCD support, identify potential areas for improvement, and understand how the broader community views RCD support.

Engagement

Coordinate with working groups to increase visibility & participation in CaRCC, esp. with underserved & underrepresented persons and organizations.





Staff & Student Workforce Development

Leveraging a strong community, addressing current need, and looking to the future

Supporting RCD Professionals

Those doing the job now, and those aspiring to become an RCD professional.

Supporting Student Programs

Growing the workforce, share successful student involvement practices

Supporting Institutions

Develop their programs in support of RCD staff and student workforces

Monthly Virtual Sessions Alternates between student and staff focus areas. All are welcome to participate.





Have you ever wanted to know...?

- How well is my institution supporting computationallyand data-intensive research and how can we get a comprehensive view of our support?
- What is my institution not thinking about or missing that the community has identified as significant?
- How can my institution (and my group) identify potential areas for improvement?



Why is there a RCD Capabilities Model!

- To assess support for computationally- and data-intensive research with a structured model
- To identify gaps and potential areas for improvement
- To understand how the broader community views Research Computing and Data support
- To gather baseline data about our community, to inform policy-makers, funders, etc.



The RCD CM Assessment tool

Area	Questions to consider for: Example University	Help	Deployment at Institution	N	<u>Iulti-Institutional</u> <u>Collaboration</u>	Service Operating Level	Computed Value	Local Priority
Storage Infrastructure							55%	
	Do researchers have access to active data storage services (a.k.a., "scratch" storage, often a parallel filesystem) sufficient for HTC/HPC?		Deployment/support institution-wide - 5	m	No existing ulti-institutional	Basic/Economy - 4 🔹	79%	
	Do researchers have access to sufficient storage to support researchers' data intensive computing needs?		Deployment/support for parts of the institution - 4	m	No existing ulti-institutional	Basic/Economy - 4	68%	÷
	Do researchers have access to mechanisms for isolated and secure support for storage of sensitive/secure data?		Deployment/support institution-wide - 5	m	No existing ulti-institutional	Basic/Economy - 4	79%	
	Do researchers have access to policies and technologies that facilitate management and wide access to data? E.g.,: i. Automated tiering and data migration ii. Security/compliance management support for sensitive/controlled data that require special access/export controls		Tracking potential use - 2	m	Exploring ulti-institutional • ollaboration - 2	Lights on Only - 3	36%	Med Prio
	Do researchers have access to data archival and preservation services (e.g. tape, cloud)?		Deployment/support for parts of the institution - 4	m	Exploring ulti-institutional	Substantial Risk of Failure(s) - 2	48%	Med Prio ⊸
	Do researchers have access to a place to store final research data to address institutional policy and/or funding agency requirements?		Deployment/support for parts of the institution - 4	m	Sustaining ulti-institutional	Lights on Only - 3	66%	•
	Do researchers have access to support for collaborative data grids and/or data repositories (national-local-commercial:: e.g.: OSN, iRODS, etc)?		Tracking potential 🖕	m	Exploring ulti-institutional	No existing service or support - 1	12%	-
Netwo	Vetwork and Data Movement Infrastructure						71%	
	Do researchers have access to a high-performance network that supports research within campus?		Deployment/support institution-wide - 5	m	Sustaining ulti-institutional	Basic/Economy - 4	92%	-
	Do researchers have access to a $\ensuremath{\textbf{Science DMZ}}$ (a means to securely enable high performance inter-campus data flows that bypass campus firewalls)?		Deployment/support institution-wide - 5	m	Sustaining ulti-institutional	Basic/Economy - 4	92%	-
+ ≡	Summary - Researcher Facing - Data Facing - Software	Facing	g 👻 System Facing	ng 🝷	Strategy and	Policy Facing 👻		



Who is using it?





Assoc

institution

(HSI)

(MSI)

Not a minority-serving

Black Colleges and





18

as of Feb 2023

INTERNET2 2023 TECHNOLOGY EXCHANGE





RCD Capabilities Model Focused Tools

- Define a *new* set of tools for first-step and more focused assessments at smaller and emerging programs
- Developing in engagement with community partners (focus groups, workshops, interviews, etc.)
 - EPSCoR, GPN, NJEdge, I-Light, SOX, and other communities
 - Smaller institutions (non R1/R2) with significant research activity
- Current thinking: two new resources
 - Conversation template/script as first introduction to ideas
 - "Chart your own path" model for quicker/focused assessments





https://spaces.at.internet2.edu/display/RE/RCD+Ecosystem





ex 23 CaRCC Campus Research Computing Consortium



COMPUTING FOR THE COMMON GOOD

Portland, OR - July 23-27, 2023





Discussion

Research Engagement at Internet2 dbrunson@internet2.edu jhicks@internet2.edu tmiddelkoop@internet2.edu





Acknowledgements

Portions of this material are based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under the following grants:

Grant No. OAC-1440783, "A Model for Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Research and Education Facilitators"

Grant No. OAC-1548562, "XSEDE 2.0: Integrating, Enabling and Enhancing National Cyberinfrastructure with Expanding Community Involvement"

Grant No. OAC-1620695, "RCN: Advancing Research and Education Through a National Network of Campus Cyberinfrastructure, Infrastructures – The CaRC Consortium"

Grant No. OAC-2036534, "Building the research innovation workforce: a workshop to identify new insights and directions to advance the research computing community."

Grant No. OIA-2033483, 2033514, & 2033519, "Building Research Cyberinfrastructure in EPSCoR Jurisdictions: Assessment, Planning and Partnerships"

Grant No. OAC-2100003, "CI CoE: Demo Pilot: Advancing Research Computing and Data: Strategic Tools, Practices, and Professional Development" (RCD Nexus)



